

Comments on the establishment of the new name LIOCHELIDAE Fet & Bechly, 2001 (Arachnida, Scorpiones) as a substitute for ISCHNURIDAE Simon, 1879
(Case 3120a; see BZN 58: 280–281)

(1) Wilson R. Lourenço

Laboratoire de Zoologie, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, 61 rue de Buffon, 75005 Paris, France

I should like to express my support for the establishment by Fet & Bechly of the new scorpion family name LIOCHELIDAE as a substitute for ISCHNURIDAE Simon, 1879. This avoids any need for the undesirable emendment of the very widely used damselfly name ISCHNURINAE Fraser, 1957 (Odonata) to avoid homonymy.

(2) Frantisek Kovarik

P.O. Box 27, CZ-145 01 Praha 45, Czech Republic

I fully agree with the revised proposal of Fet & Bechly, that is the introduction of the scorpion name LIOCHELIDAE, which is based on the valid generic name *Liocheles*, as a substitute for ISCHNURIDAE Simon, 1879.

Comment on the proposed conservation of the specific name of *Hydroporus discretus* Fairmaire & Brisout in Fairmaire, 1859 (Insecta, Coleoptera)
(Case 3147; see BZN 58: 105–107, 305)

G.N. Foster

The Balfour-Browne Club, 3 Eglinton Terrace, Ayr KA7 1JJ, Scotland

I write in support of Hans Fery's proposal that the name *Hydroporus discretus* Fairmaire & Brisout, 1859 be conserved by the suppression of *H. neuter* Fairmaire & Laboulbène, 1854. Dr Fery is correct in stating that the name *discretus* has been in continuous use for over a century, and that *neuter* has not been used except by Ádám (1996).

One purpose of the Code is to achieve stability, and I believe that coleopterists have travelled a long way in the last decade in achieving an agreed and Code-compliant European checklist. This is essential if we are to accomplish some ecological and wildlife objectives without bewildering policy makers and would-be coleopterists by introducing a plethora of name changes. Changes are, indeed, taking place on the basis of improved knowledge of the evolution of the group, as revealed by DNA markers. The danger is that these important changes, which are potentially confusing in themselves, will be brought into disrepute by being associated with some rather mischievous changes created by a worker not in touch with the overriding needs for nomenclatural stability and systematic rigour.

Comments on the proposed precedence of NYMPHULINAE Duponchel, 1845 over ACENTROPINAE Stephens, 1835 (Insecta, Lepidoptera)
(Case 3048; see BZN 56: 31–33; 57: 46–48; 58: 305–306)

(1) David L. Wagner

Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, U-Box 43, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269-3043, U.S.A.